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The Energy Sustainability Project Report

Executive Summary

IN 2006, NJSSI CONVENSED a group of leaders from environment and industry, state and local government, and academia, in a process to understand and reach agreement about the future of energy and climate issues in New Jersey. NJSSI supported the deliberation with advanced research and modeling, and held public conferences and meetings throughout New Jersey to provide public input.

This summary report contains the results of that deliberation in the form of a set of key energy indicators that track the issues that we must address, and the associated key targets that New Jersey should achieve. The full project report with references and analytical details is available at www.njssi.org.

A diverse set of stakeholders has endorsed these indicators and targets as a fair representation of what we must achieve in New Jersey’s energy sector if we want to sustain our environment and quality of life in the future. Note that the modeled scenarios and policy combinations (p 7) are not endorsed by the stakeholders as specific policy recommendations. Rather, these modeled scenarios are illustrative examples of what can be achieved with existing policy tools. Frank and open debate is now required to select the appropriate policies to achieve our sustainable energy targets. NJSSI will track and report New Jersey’s progress toward these targets to ensure we stay on track.

THE THREE INDICATORS AND THEIR TARGETS:
1. Reduce carbon dioxide (CO2) emissions from the energy sector to their 1990 level by 2020 and by 80% from the 2006 level by 2050. (Assuming a linear annual decline from 2020 through 2050, the 2016 interim goal is a reduction of about 45% from the 2006 emissions level.)
2. The percent of income in New Jersey spent on energy should not rise above 7%, and the percentage of income in New Jersey devoted to energy should not go higher than the average of our peer states in the neighboring states.
3. 10% of New Jersey’s energy needs should be derived from New Jersey sources by the year 2036.

The project showed the impact of an illustrative aggressive policy scenario on achieving the targets. This scenario included the following energy policy strategies: advanced bio-fuels, significant energy efficiency gains, and new energy taxes.

KEY CONCLUSIONS:
• The project selected and endorsed the same greenhouse gas emissions targets as described in the New Jersey Global Warming Response Act.
• Aggressive implementation of policies such as increased energy efficiency of buildings and especially motor vehicles, energy taxes, and the use of advanced bio-fuels, can achieve the 2020 GHG target. But it will take a concerted effort: we must begin now and we must have these strategies largely implemented by 2020.
• After 2020, the gains from known policies will be over-taken by growth in population and economic activity, and GHG emissions will begin to rise. To reach the 2036 and 2050 targets, we must expand the use of known policies and develop new and as yet unknown solutions and have them ready to be implemented by 2020.
• Policies addressing climate change are also likely to help address energy security concerns.
• Implementation of an aggressive policy scenario such as that described in this report is unlikely to have a dramatic adverse impact on New Jersey’s economic growth.
Energy Sustainability Project

The Future of New Jersey

WHAT KIND OF NEW JERSEY ARE WE leaving to future generations? “Sustainability,” or “sustainable development,” means the resources and systems that support us today so that they will be available to future generations. It means preserving our civilization and the things we hold dear in perpetuity while enhancing our quality of life. The three-ring symbol represents a sustainable state. Each ring represents one of the three systems that support humanity: the economy, the environment, and our society. Each of these rings overlaps the other two. To be sustainable—that is, to have an equitable and prosperous civilization in the future—each of these systems must be healthy and in balance. We cannot degrade any one of the systems that supports us without adversely affecting the other two.

This is the essence of sustainable living. Beautiful beaches mean little if you cannot afford to get there. A good job doesn’t mean much if you have to worry about your safety on the walk home. A safe, friendly neighborhood isn’t a haven if its air is not breathable. Sacrificing the future to benefit the present is the opposite of sustainability. Failing to consider the long-term impacts of our decisions can result in unbreathable air, a floundering economy, decaying cities, choking traffic congestion, loss of wildlife, and open space, and unsafe neighborhoods. However, if we get serious about living with the future in mind, we have the possibility of passing on to our children a New Jersey that is secure and offers a high quality of life.

Seeing things differently is the first step toward doing things differently.

SEEING THE BIG PICTURE

Unfortunately, it is difficult to understand and track many of the most pressing sustainability issues. One cannot sense if greenhouse gases are accumulating in the atmosphere by sniffing the air. Similarly, without some trusted way to track progress we cannot know if our state is building a dangerously large financial debt, if wildlife is declining too much or if our fellow citizens are struggling economically. If knowledge is power, NJSSI is dedicated to ensuring that all New Jerseyans have the power to act for a better future.

NJSSI has created a set of key goals and indicators that tell us how we are doing in achieving each of our environmental, social, and economic goals. These goals and indicators were selected through an open, public dialogue that included leading scientists, environmental and business interests, poverty and education advocates, state government, and over a thousand citizens from all walks of life. Entitled the “Sustainable State Goals and Indicators,” they can be found on our website at www.njssi.org and in our signature report Living With the Future In Mind. If we want a secure and prosperous future it is imperative that we consider our impact today on these long-term trends when we shop, when we vote, and when we choose where and how to live.

HOW IS NEW JERSEY DOING?

An analysis of our NJ indicators shows results are decidedly mixed.

ENVIRONMENT—New Jersey’s wildlife and natural environment are increasingly stressed by population growth, consumption, and suburban sprawl. New Jersey’s growing pollution is producing less local pollution than in the 1970s and the environment we experience every day is, for the most part, cleaner. Unfortunately, since New Jersey residents are consuming more, some of our local gains may be due to exporting our pollution and polluting activities, forcing others to deal with these problems.

ECONOMY—As a whole, New Jersey is economically better off than it was in the past. However, the state is less equitable than it was in the 1980s, with a widening gap between richer and poorer residents.

SOCIETY—Crime continues to generally trend downward since 1980 and schools have sustained a high level of performance as a whole. Despite some gains, the Legislature contains fewer women and minorities than the population overall. Gains in average pay for minorities and women seem to be closing in on those for white men, but progress has slowed.

To insulate the effort from the political process, and to ensure the indicators and targets were free of real or perceived bias by state government or an advocacy group such as New Jersey Future, it was decided that the effort needed an independent home. In 2002, with an appropriation from the State Legislature, NJSSI was founded as an independent institute to be housed at the Bloustein School at Rutgers University and co-managed by the York Center for Environmental Engineering and Science at the New Jersey Institute of Technology.
Energy for New Jersey

WE BELIEVE THAT ENERGY is the most pressing issue to be addressed if we want to ensure a sustainable future. Despite increased concern over global warming and rising energy prices, most people do not have a trusted and simple way to understand whether we are heading for an energy crisis, and if so what must be done to avoid it. To provide answers, the NJ Board of Public Utilities (BPU) funded NJSSI to initiate a public dialogue and fact-finding process to create indicators and long-term targets (to the year 2050) of sustainable development for New Jersey's energy sector.

SUPPORT FOR THE ENERGY MASTER PLAN

The effort supports the State of NJ's forthcoming official Energy Master Plan (EMP). In relation to the EMP, this project will educate citizens about our energy future so they can be better stakeholders in the EMP process. The project also provides an independent look at the levels of performance that NJ, and the Energy Master Plan, must achieve. As an independent institute, NJSSI will continue to report our progress toward the targets for the foreseeable future, thereby bringing more consistency to both energy policies, and policy dialogue, on energy and climate. Indicators are measures for tracking progress on things we care about. Targets specify the indicator levels that we want to reach by certain dates. In other words, the targets tell us what we need to achieve, and by when. The indicators and targets in this report will illuminate the possible outcomes and trade-offs of various actions as well as the consequences of inaction. They are intended to provide an understandable, trustworthy, and scientifically defensible picture of what must be achieved to ensure that our supply and use of energy can support our quality of life for the long term.

Paralleling the three aspects of sustainability on the previous page, we studied the three critical aspects of our energy system that must be balanced if we want to be sustainable: economic, environment, and security. Our economy depends on affordable energy to power our homes, businesses and cars. Our security depends on reliable and stable supplies of oil, natural gas, and electricity. From local air pollution, with its harmful health effects, to dramatic impacts of global warming, no decisions affect the environment more than how we produce and consume energy. As represented in the three-ring graphic, to be sustainable, energy must balance security, economics, and the environment.

HOW WE CREATED THE INDICATORS AND TARGETS

To establish indicators and targets, NJSSI convened an open dialogue that included public input, representatives from industry, the environment, state and local government, and scientific experts from many disciplines. Energy issues of concern were initially identified at a kick-off conference in May 2006 and at public meetings in the following weeks. A Steering Committee composed of senior NJ stakeholders oversaw the project. They guided and debated the NJSSI research and modeling efforts, and ultimately selected the indicators and targets that form the core of this report. A list of the Committee members appears on the inside back cover of this report.

FUTURE PROJECTIONS: SCENARIO MODELING

To support the deliberations of the Steering Committee, NJSSI engaged in a major research and modeling effort. NJSSI modeled different future scenarios by adapting a powerful New Jersey-specific econometric model known as R/ECON™ from the Center for Urban Policy Research at the Edward J. Bloustein School of Planning and Public Policy, Rutgers University. The modeling helped the project’s participants to think systematically about the future and to see how different policy scenarios performed relative to the targets. The scenarios are described on page 11.

Technical oversight and guidance was provided by energy sector experts, scientists, and academicians through the project’s Expert Advisory Board (listed on the inside back cover). This group reviewed the modeling platform and its underlying assumptions and provided quality control and assurance on the modeling results.

An Aggressive Policy Scenario: Understanding the Tradeoffs

This report describes a set of targets toward which New Jersey should strive if we want to achieve sustainability in the energy sector. A problem arises if one target is narrowly pursued at the expense of another target. For example, pursuing cheap energy for economic well-being is not sustainable if it dangerously increases levels of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere. Sustainability means that all the critical issues we face must be balanced and addressed together.

To understand if our targets adequately balance the three facets of sustainable energy, NJSSI developed an illustrative policy scenario in the R/ECON model that represents a very aggressive, but plausible, course of action for New Jersey. This policy scenario is primarily targeted at reducing greenhouse gas emissions. Our modeling projects the impact of implementing this policy scenario on each indicator. We observe the tradeoffs among environmental, economic, and security factors by examining how closely the policy scenario approaches each target.

This policy scenario is not intended to be implemented directly. Rather, it illustrates the impacts of several aggressive yet plausible strategies between now and 2036.

Each of the indicators on the following pages shows our projection of what will happen in New Jersey in a “business as usual” scenario where no new actions are taken, and also in a future where the “aggressive policy scenario” is implemented with a ten year phase-in period starting in 2010. The strategies implemented in the illustrative policy scenario are as follows:

FULL-COST ENERGY PRICING—The price of energy is increased by the environmental externality costs associated with the combustion of fossil fuels. These additional costs in current dollars are approximately $0.03 per kWh of electricity, $0.58 per gallon of gasoline, $1.35 per thousand cubic feet of natural gas, and $1.53 per gallon of heating oil.

HIGH TECHNOLOGY AND EFFICIENCY—Building, transportation, and industrial energy efficiency improvements are implemented statewide to reduce energy use.

ADVANCED BIO-FUELS—Advanced bio-fuels replace 30% of all liquid fossil fuels consumed in New Jersey for space heating and transportation. Out-of-state cellulose ethanol, biodiesel, and similar products replace this percentage of gasoline, fuel oil, and other distillate liquid fossil fuels. Although not currently carbon-neutral, advanced bio-fuels are expected to achieve that status by 2030 in this scenario.
**CO₂ Emissions from Energy**

Greenhouse Gas Emissions in New Jersey

Greenhouse gas emissions from the consumption of energy in New Jersey will continue to increase unless we take aggressive policy actions. Energy use accounts for more than 80% of New Jersey greenhouse gas emissions.

**THE TARGET**
Reduction to 1990 level by 2020 and 80% reduction from 2006 level by 2050.

**THE GAP**
Aggressive implementation of known policies and technologies can reduce emissions sufficiently to achieve the 2020 target. (see p. 7 for scenario description)

**The unknown future**
After 2020, known policies fail to keep up with growth and we still need to reduce emissions dramatically to reach the 2036 and 2050 targets.

**IF WE DO NOTHING**
Since the industrial revolution, human activity has caused carbon dioxide (CO₂) and other heat trapping gases to accumulate in the atmosphere, enhancing the now famous “greenhouse effect.” If emissions are left unchecked, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) predicts that average temperatures will rise between three and eleven degrees Fahrenheit in the next 100 years. This could be catastrophic. Globally, it could result in crop failures, food shortages, the spread of tropical diseases, invasive species, major floods, sea level rise, more severe storms, and geopolitical conflict. New Jersey will not be spared these impacts, including inland and coastal flooding, mega-storms, spread of new diseases, and invasive species. The full scope of the impact cannot be fully predicted. However, it is safe to say that global warming is a very real threat to quality of life in New Jersey and to the basic sustainability of our civilization.

**OUR RECOMMENDED TARGET**
To avert the very worst effects of climate change, what scientists refer to as “catastrophic global warming,” we are endorsing targets for a reduction in New Jersey carbon dioxide emissions from energy use (measured in metric tons of CO₂ equivalent) to 14 million tons per year by the year 2020 and 66 million tons per year by 2056. The 2020 target is consistent with that contained in the NJ Global Warming Response Act when apportioned to the energy sector, and is in line with the recommendations of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, the authoritative body on such matters.

**REACHING THE TARGET**
Reaching the target will not be easy. Our illustrative aggressive policy scenario shows that it is possible to achieve the 2020 target with concerted effort. But after 2020, the gains from these policies are overtaken by economic and population growth, so that emissions again begin to rise. To reach the 2036 and 2050 targets, a whole new date of currently unknown technologies and policies must be identified.

**Secondary environmental indicators**

*FIGURE 2: CO₂ PER CAPITA*
Continued population growth in New Jersey is likely, so per capita emissions reductions will be needed to outpace the population growth rate.

*FIGURE 3: CRITERIA AIR POLLUTANTS*
Local and regional air pollution emissions of nitrogen oxides, sulfur dioxide, particulate matter and other “Criteria” pollutants regulated by the federal government should be reduced to improve human health.

*FIGURE 4: PERCENTAGE OF ENERGY DERIVED FROM RENEWABLE SOURCES*
Renewable energy sources such as sun, wind, and sustainable bio-fuels do not emit greenhouse gases so we should expand their contribution to New Jersey’s energy mix.
Energy for a strong economy

Efficiency: The percentage of our income spent on energy

This indicator shows us how much of our collective income we spend on our energy needs and how we compare to the states that are our biggest economic competitors. We are on par with our neighbors in the mid-Atlantic region.

THE TARGET
7% of the collective income spent on energy needs.

LEGEND
- History
- 5% History
- 7% History
- Baseline
- Aggressive Policy Scenario

FIGURE 5

Energy expenditures as a percentage of personal income

FIGURE 6: ENERGY CONSUMPTION PER DOLLAR OF GROSS STATE PRODUCT (IN CONSTANT YEAR 2000 DOLLARS)
The overall energy intensity of the economy should decrease.

FIGURE 7: ENERGY USE PER CAPITA (MILLION BTU PER YEAR)
Energy use per capita should decrease faster than population growth.

FIGURE 8: TRANSPORTATION EFFICIENCY IN FLEET
MPG should increase faster than vehicle miles traveled increases.

IF WE DO NOTHING
The principles of sustainable development tell us that our economy, environment, and society should all be functioning and healthy: no one aspect should be sacrificed for the other two. Therefore, reducing carbon emissions or eliminating local smog would be a hollow victory if it plunged New Jersey into economic ruin. At about eight percent of personal income, current energy costs do not appear to be a significant burden on the economy from a historical perspective. On average, energy consumes less of our income (5.9%, 2003) than it does for the neighboring states of New York (6.3%) and Pennsylvania (8.3%). However, this is mostly due to higher incomes, not cheaper energy. New Jersey businesses generally pay a high price for energy compared to other regions of the country.

Our recommended target
We are endorsing two targets for New Jersey. The first is that the percent of our income devoted to energy should not rise beyond 7%. The second target is that the percent of income devoted to energy in New Jersey should not go higher than the average of neighboring states. This means that policies that increase the cost of energy must be balanced with policies that increase efficiency and promote economic growth so as not to overburden businesses or consumers.

REACHING THE TARGET
Although New Jersey currently exceeds the 7% target, our aggressive policy scenario achieves this goal with expected economic growth. As a consequence of our relative wealth, New Jersey spends a relatively low percentage of its income on energy. We were not able to model the economies of our peer states so we cannot show how the aggressive policy scenario changed our status relative to our peers. This is something to monitor in the future as data becomes available.
Securing NJ’s Energy Supplies

Security: Percentage of our energy generated from New Jersey sources

How much of the energy that we consume in New Jersey comes from dependable, controllable, local sources like solar, wind, and bio-fuels? Not much.

The Target
10% of energy from local sources.

Legend
- History
- Baseline
- Aggressive Policy Scenario

New Jersey’s growing population and economy will place increasing burdens on the state’s energy supply and delivery infrastructure. If we do not ensure the future security and adequacy of this infrastructure, we leave New Jersey vulnerable to painful, costly disruptions.

If we do nothing
New Jersey’s quality of life depends upon uninterrupted access to reasonably priced, clean energy. Energy vulnerability results when local energy supplies are inadequate or when the sources of imported energy are insecure. New Jersey has no coal, oil, gas or uranium reserves—it is an energy consumer state rather than a producer state. Our only strictly local sources of energy include solar, wind, tidal, hydroelectric, geothermal, and biomass. This makes New Jersey and its economy highly dependent on interstate flows of electricity and natural gas and petroleum flows from around the world. Instability in the Middle East and Africa has made oil prices and supplies volatile. Natural gas and petroleum interruptions following Hurricane Katrina in 2005 have shown that domestic supplies are also vulnerable. Our nuclear power plants require new fuel from outside New Jersey. And our hot summer days show that even the electricity grid is not immune.

Our recommended target
NJSSI endorses a target of 10% of energy derived from local primary sources by the year 2036. Currently less than 1% of energy used in New Jersey comes from local sources. The New Jersey Renewable Portfolio Standard mandates a 2.21% solar contribution of electricity by 2020 and we believe that an order of magnitude increase from 2006 represents an appropriate target for 2036.

Reaching the target
Reaching the target will require concerted effort. Currently the local sources of energy, mostly renewable, are growing in response to an aggressive state policy. However, even though our illustrative policy scenario is overlaid on top of current policies, it does not achieve the 10% target. After 2020, continued aggressive efforts will be needed to achieve the 2036 target. The table on page 11 includes an alternate scenario featuring super-high efficiency technology that more closely achieves the 10% target. The good news is that activities to increase our local energy security can also benefit the environment.

Secondary security indicators

FIGURE 10: PERCENTAGE OF ELECTRICITY CONSUMED IN NEW JERSEY THAT IS GENERATED IN NEW JERSEY
Locally generated electricity is more reliable and less vulnerable to weather problems affecting the power grid. This chart shows that New Jersey today has to import roughly 20% of its electricity and that percentage is expected to increase in the future unless power plant siting difficulties are overcome.

FIGURE 11: PERCENTAGE OF ENERGY CONSUMED IN NEW JERSEY THAT COMES FROM IMPORTED FOSSIL FUELS
Oil imports from politically unstable regions are an especially vulnerable part of New Jersey’s energy supply. The chart shows that about 25% of New Jersey’s energy now comes from imported fossil fuels. That pattern is likely to persist into the future unless we take policy actions aimed at reducing import dependence.
Scenarios for the Future

The Nuclear Issue

New Jersey’s nuclear power plants generate almost half of the electricity that we consume with no GHG emissions. The electricity that we import from the out-of-state grid results in 50% more GHG emissions on average than locally produced electricity. What role should nuclear power play in New Jersey’s energy mix? What would be the impacts on New Jersey if the Oyster Creek power plant were not re-licensed in 2009? Research and public dialogue are needed to address the nuclear issue.

Additional Scenarios for the Future

In addition to the policies and technologies included in the illustrative aggressive policy scenario, we explored several others. Many of them provided interesting insights. The impact of these alternate policy scenarios on each of our key indicators is summarized in the chart on the facing page.

New Jersey’s economy is tightly linked to the national economy and to the trajectories of population and economic growth, and energy prices. Most of the scenarios shown here assume that by 2036, New Jersey’s population will grow to over 10 million people, the Gross State Product (GSP) remains low and vehicle miles travelled are increasing. The interaction of land use patterns, smart growth policies, transportation issues, and energy usage need greater study. Liquid biofuels present an attractive alternative to petroleum products. Are they really the panacea they seem or will we be trading the problem—

that we know for a new one?

And what of the energy technologies that are now only dreams? Who will fund the basic energy research and development that is required now in order to develop “disruptive,” game-changing energy solutions in a timely manner?

The answers to these questions are critical to the sustainability of New Jersey, its neighbors, and the world.

Further details for the scenarios, the modeling process, and all aspects of the project may be found in the full report at www.njissi.org.

---

**THE TARGET**

- 10% reduction below current levels by 2020
- 45% reduction by 2036
- 80% reduction by 2050

**Illustrative Policy Bundle**

- 10% reduction below current levels by 2020
- 45% reduction by 2036
- 80% reduction by 2050

**Affordability**

- Income as a share of total consumption will be stable or increase.

**GHG Emissions**

- GHG emissions will be reduced by 40-50% by 2036
- GHG emissions will be reduced by 80% by 2050

**Energy Efficiency**

- Energy efficiency will increase by 50% by 2036
- Energy efficiency will increase by 80% by 2050

**The Gap**

- The gap between current levels and the target levels will be closed.

**No more NIMBY**

- All new energy projects will be approved.

**Super-aggressive policy bundle**

- Full cost, high efficiency, 30% biofuels

---

**FIGURE 12: IMPACT OF THE AGGRESSIVE POLICY SCENARIO STRATEGIES ON CO2 EMISSIONS.**

---

**Additional Scenarios for the Future**

This table summarizes the year 2036 impacts on the indicators for the scenarios that were modeled in this study. Note that no one scenario achieves the desired target; success requires political commitment on a broad policy front.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCENARIO</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Base case</td>
<td>Business as usual, keep current policies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low growth</td>
<td>Base case but with high energy prices, low immigration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High growth</td>
<td>Base case but with low energy prices, high immigration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full-cost pricing</td>
<td>Using taxes and fees, internalize the external environmental costs of using fossil fuels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium carbon tax</td>
<td>Impose a tax of $50 per ton of CO2 emitted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High carbon tax</td>
<td>Impose a tax of $200 per ton of CO2 emitted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High efficiency</td>
<td>Using regulations, improve energy use in buildings, industry, transportation to cost-effective levels (about 30%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Super high efficiency</td>
<td>Using regulations require the use of best available energy efficiency technologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced biofuels</td>
<td>Replace 50% of current liquid fossil fuel use with biofuels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Super advanced biofuels</td>
<td>Replace 80% of current liquid fossil fuel use with biofuels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smart growth</td>
<td>Liquid vehicle miles traveled per capita constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No more NIMBY</td>
<td>Meet all growth in electricity demand from local sources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illustrative aggressive policy bundle</td>
<td>Full cost, high efficiency, 30% biofuels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Super-aggressive policy bundle</td>
<td>Super high efficiency, smart growth, 30% biofuels</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A Call to Action

The scenarios explored in this report illustrate a range of possibilities for New Jersey’s future. It is clear that energy efficiency for transportation and buildings, energy production from renewables, and basic energy research are necessary components of any New Jersey energy future. And the debate over the role of nuclear power will continue as it should. We hope that this report has sparked your imagination and interest, and perhaps firmed your resolve to work for a better future. The real work of crafting a shared vision and implementing it begins now. NJSSI will do its part to keep everyone informed of New Jersey’s progress, or lack thereof. But New Jersey’s success or failure depends on the daily decisions of regular citizens in grocery stores, auto dealerships, and real estate brokerages, and as we go to the polls to hold our leaders accountable for making progress.

The stakes are high: New Jersey will only become sustainable if we work hard together to create a clean, affordable, and secure energy future.

Additional Information
This summary report shares the major findings of the full project report. Both of these reports with additional results, analytical details, and full citations can be accessed on the New Jersey Sustainable State Institute website: www.njssi.org.

Emissions Source Data Disclaimer:
Emissions data for greenhouse gases and their constituent parts are available from a number of sources. As reporting procedures and measuring techniques improve, these numbers can change. Not all sources update their data at the same time, potentially leading to apparent discrepancies between the data sources. For this study, we have used emissions data from the U.S. Energy Information Administration.
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